


Near-Field Surface Waves in Few-Layer MoS₂Viktoriia E. Babicheva,[†] Sampath Gamage,^{||} Li Zhen,[‡] Stephen B. Cronin,[‡] Vladislav S. Yakovlev,[§] and Yohannes Abate^{*||}[†]College of Optical Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, United States[‡]Viterbi School of Engineering, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089, United States[§]Max Planck Institute of Quantum Optics, Hans-Kopfermann-Straße 1, Garching 85748, Germany^{||}Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Recently emerged layered transition metal dichalcogenides have attracted great interest due to their intriguing fundamental physical properties and potential applications in optoelectronics. Using scattering-type scanning near-field optical microscope (s-SNOM) and theoretical modeling, we study propagating surface waves in the visible spectral range that are excited at sharp edges of layered transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDC) such as molybdenum disulfide and tungsten diselenide. These surface waves form fringes in s-SNOM measurements. By measuring how the fringes change when the sample is rotated with respect to the incident beam, we obtain evidence that exfoliated MoS₂ on a silicon substrate supports two types of Zenneck surface waves that are predicted to exist in materials with large real and imaginary parts of the permittivity. In addition to conventional Zenneck surface waves guided along one interface, we introduce another Zenneck-type mode that exists in multilayer structures with large dissipation. We have compared MoS₂ interference fringes with those formed on a layered insulator such as hexagonal boron nitride where the small permittivity supports only leaky modes. The interpretation of our experimental data is supported by theoretical analysis. Our results could pave the way to the investigation of surface waves on TMDCs and other van der Waals materials and their novel photonics applications.

KEYWORDS: transition metal dichalcogenides, hexagonal boron nitride, Zenneck waves, near-field optical microscope



Layered materials, such as black phosphorus, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs), and hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) are promising for a wide range of applications in optoelectronics. The optical properties of these materials at the nanoscale have attracted a great interest in recent years.^{1–10} Surface waves that localize and guide energy along a layer of such a material can be used for efficient light manipulation at the nanoscale.¹¹ In the visible spectral range, TMDCs possess large real and imaginary parts of the permittivity, which is a prerequisite for the existence of Zenneck waves. These waves were predicted by Zenneck and Sommerfeld to exist at interfaces where both media possess positive real parts of the dielectric permittivity ($\text{Re}[\epsilon] > 0$), but at least one of the media is lossy ($\text{Im}[\epsilon] > 0$).^{12,13} In the beginning of the last century, the propagation of radio waves along the surface of water was the main motivation for research on Zenneck waves.^{14–16} While the existence of Zenneck waves follows from Maxwell's equations, contradicting claims on the observation of Zenneck waves in certain materials were reported.^{11,17–24} Experimentally, demonstration of Zenneck waves is challenging since any practical source of electromagnetic waves located at an interface between two media produces a mixed field composed of surface and bulk waves. Although direct imaging of Zenneck waves is still missing, there are a few experimental works on the observation of Zenneck waves.^{22,25,26} In recent years, the

growing number of emergent layered materials, such as TMDCs, offer an excellent hunting ground for Zenneck waves in the optical spectral range.

Here, we report on the excitation and observation of Zenneck waves in the optical spectral range at the interfaces of exfoliated TMDC (MoS₂ or WSe₂) and a silicon substrate. Both TMDC and silicon are dissipative, and the Si-TMDC-air structure generally supports several guided modes. We are particularly interested in two *p*-polarized modes that have relatively low optical losses and propagate over several micrometers: Zenneck surface wave, mainly localized at TMDC/air interface, and the introduced here Zenneck-type mode, which is mainly localized at the interface between TMDC layer and the substrate. We use a scattering-type scanning near-field optical microscope (s-SNOM), which provides not only high-resolution images of nanostructures^{27–39} but also serves as a tool to image all possible surface waves and waveguide modes.^{8,28,40} s-SNOM records fringes due to the superposition of incident, reflected, scattered, and guided light at a sample surface. One of the possible mechanisms of fringe formation routinely used in s-SNOM is the interference

Received: December 18, 2017

Published: May 11, 2018




Figure 1. Nanoimaging of surface waves in MoS₂ and hBN. (a) Artist's rendition of the experimental configuration. The blue curved arrow depicts the counterclockwise sample rotation. The tip axis and the laser illumination direction are indicated by straight arrows; these directions were fixed throughout our experiments. Sample rotation angle ϕ is measured on the x - y plane (sample plane) between the projection of the laser-beam axis onto the plane and the normal to the sample edge. (b–e) Real-space s-SNOM images of MoS₂ and hBN flakes: (b) MoS₂ flake image taken at rotation angle $\phi = 0^\circ$; (c) MoS₂ flake at $\phi = 45^\circ$; (d) hBN flake at $\phi = 90^\circ$; (e) hBN flake at $\phi = 45^\circ$. Fringes in an s-SNOM image can be decomposed into closely- and far-spaced components by applying spatial Fourier filtering. Fringe spacing depends on the sample rotation angle. The scans have a size of $4 \times 2.5 \mu\text{m}^2$. They were made for wavelength $\lambda_0 = 632 \text{ nm}$, MoS₂ sample thickness $\sim 130 \text{ nm}$, and hBN thickness $\sim 150 \text{ nm}$. Scale bars in (b)–(e) represent $1 \mu\text{m}$.

patterns of surface polaritons (plasmon or phonon) launched by the tip and reflected at sample boundaries.^{4,28,40,41} Sample edges also serve as efficient scatterers for both incident light and surface waves. We distinguish between different mechanisms of fringe formation by analyzing how the fringe pattern depends on the orientation of the sample with respect to the incident light. Interference fringes formed by tip-launched waves returning to the tip do not depend on the orientation, while fringes formed by waves launched at sample boundaries do. We identify contributions from various mechanisms of fringe formation by applying a two-dimensional (2D) Fourier analysis to SNOM images. We can explain our measurements as interference between light that experienced a single scattering event at the tip and light that reached the detector after being scattered at the tip and a sample edge. We do not, however, observe fringes related to tip-launched-tip-scattered waves such as those reported in the mid-infrared spectral region on graphene or hBN.^{4,28,40,41}

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1a shows a schematic diagram of the s-SNOM experimental configuration. A sharp metallic cantilevered probe tip is illuminated by a laser at an angle of $\theta = 45^\circ$, which is measured between the beam axis and a normal to the sample surface. The sample edge surrounds an exfoliated flake (e.g., MoS₂) on the Si substrate. Sample rotation angle ϕ is measured from the projection of the incident beam axis onto the x - y plane (sample plane) and in-plane normal to the sample edge; increasing ϕ corresponds to the counterclockwise rotation of the sample as shown by the blue curved arrow. The scattered field from the tip–sample interface is collected using phase modulation (pseudoheterodyne) interferometry and demodulation of the detector signal at higher harmonics of the tip resonance frequency. In Figure 1b–e, we show fourth harmonic amplitude images of two representative exfoliated semiconductor MoS₂ and insulator hBN on Si substrate. The excitation HeNe laser (wavelength, $\lambda_0 = 632 \text{ nm}$) is p-polarized.




Figure 2. Fringe-spacing analysis for MoS₂. (a) Fourier analysis of the s-SNOM images measured over the MoS₂ part of sample 1 for different sample rotation angles, ϕ . The analysis results are overlaid with the inverse fringe spacing $2\pi/\Delta x$ calculated with eqs 1–3 for the interfering light waves: the incident light, cylindrical free-space waves, Zenneck surface waves, and Zenneck-type modes of highly dissipative MoS₂. (b) Dots and the error bars represent the positions of the main peaks in the Fourier analysis and their half-maximum widths, respectively. Here, we show data for three samples. The black, green, and red theoretical curves are the same as those in (a). (c–h) Mode profiles of Zenneck surface and Zenneck-type waves as well as modes at single interface: plots show $|E_x|$, which is the absolute value of the E -field component parallel to the surface. In (c), the Zenneck surface wave has a wavelength of $\lambda_{ZW} = 642$ nm, which is larger than the free-space wavelength of the incident light $\lambda_0 = 632$ nm. The mode is largely extended into the surrounding air. In (d), Zenneck-type mode with $\lambda_{ZT} = 260$ nm and largely extended into the Si substrate. (e) Mode profile at MoS₂/air interface is similar to Zenneck wave in three-layer structure and has comparable mode penetration depth to air. (f) Mode profile at MoS₂/Si interface resembles to Zenneck-type mode with similar penetration depth to silicon. (g) and (h) are the same as (c) and (d) but at large scale along z -axis.

Images taken at several sample rotation angles $\phi = 0^\circ, 45^\circ$, and 90° are shown in Figure 1b–e (images at additional rotations angles are also shown in the Supporting Information, Figure S1). In these near-field images, interference fringes are visible on both samples (hBN and MoS₂); they are also visible on the Si substrates. These facts suggest that at least one of the mechanisms of fringe formation is material-independent. Experiments on Au films also show (Supporting Information, Figure S2) similar results. In all of these materials, the spacing of interference fringes is strongly dependent on the sample rotation angle ϕ , as indicated in Figure 1. By applying low- and high-pass spatial Fourier filters, we decompose s-SNOM images into closely- and far-space fringes, see Figure 1b–e. These two components are simultaneously present in each unfiltered image.

To determine the origin of the interference fringes and identify various possible waves, we analyzed their dependence on the sample rotation angle. Figures 2a,b show the dependence of the inverse fringe spacing, $2\pi/\Delta x$, on the sample rotation angle, ϕ , for different samples supporting various kinds of surface waves. To analyze these dependencies,

let us consider an incident electromagnetic wave of wavelength λ_0 . When the wave is scattered by a sample edge, waves propagating along the sample surface emerge; let λ_s be the wavelength of such a wave. For a Zenneck wave that is bound to the surface of the sample, $\lambda_s > \lambda_0$, which is one of the basic properties of Zenneck waves (guided waves usually have effective wavelength smaller than those of free-propagating waves; Zenneck modes are an exception allowed by strong absorption in one of the media). For the wave that is not bound to the surface (cylindrical free-space wave), $\lambda_s = \lambda_0$; for a wave guided within the TMDC layer (see the description of Zenneck-type mode below), $\lambda_s < \lambda_0$. When a wave propagating along the surface scatters at the s-SNOM tip and reaches the detector, it interferes with the incident light scattered only by the tip, without the edge being involved. The following formula estimates the fringe spacing in the case where a laser beam simultaneously illuminates the s-SNOM tip and the sample edge:

$$\Delta x_1 = \frac{\lambda_0}{|\sin \theta \cos \phi - \sqrt{(\lambda_0/\lambda_s)^2 - (\sin \theta \sin \phi)^2}|} \quad (1)$$

We refer to section [Interference Model](#) below for details on the model and the derivation of [eq 1](#). For the cylindrical wave, which exists even if the sample does not support any guided or surface waves, $\lambda_s = \lambda_0$ simplifies [eq 1](#) to

$$\Delta x_2 = \frac{\lambda_0}{|\sin \theta \cos \phi - \sqrt{1 - (\sin \theta \sin \phi)^2}|} \quad (2)$$

If there is a surface wave and a cylindrical wave, their interference with each other results in fringes spaced by

$$\Delta x_3 = \frac{\lambda_0}{|\sqrt{(\lambda_0/\lambda_s)^2 - (\sin \theta \sin \phi)^2} - \sqrt{1 - (\sin \theta \sin \phi)^2}|} \quad (3)$$

The three types of interference fringes are shown in [Figure 2a,b](#) with black, green, and red curves, respectively, where we superimpose $2\pi/\Delta x$ evaluated with [eqs 1–3](#)) on the experimental data.

We observed the dependence of interference fringes on the sample rotation angle, ϕ , for both TMDC and hBN samples. We found the main contribution comes from the interference of the incident light with the cylindrical wave. The propagation of these edge-scattered cylindrical waves in air is practically independent of the sample's optical properties. In the study of surface waves, this contribution can be considered as an artifact. Such cylindrical waves attracted a significant interest in earlier studies of propagating surface plasmons and extraordinary optical transmission associated with them.^{42–44} In the present work, we do not study these cylindrical waves in detail; instead, we point out their prominent and often misleading effect on experimental near-field interference image formation and interpretation not only in the visible but also in the infrared frequency range. For example, we have performed imaging on TMDC samples in the mid-infrared wavelength range, $\lambda = 4–10 \mu\text{m}$, (other excitations, such as interband or excitonic transitions are not expected in this energy range), fringes are only caused by the interference of the incident beam with edge-scattered cylindrical waves (see [WSe₂ scans in Figure S3 of the Supporting Information](#)). These infrared images show that the artifact fringes can extend from the sample edge on over several tens of micrometers ([Supporting Information, Figure S4](#)). Also, in our experiments, both TMDCs and hBN are deposited on top of silicon rather than on standard SiO₂/Si substrates. A SiO₂ layer with a thickness of several hundred nanometers would support waveguide modes confined between the TMDC and the underlying Si, and these long-range guided waves may prevent the observation of the surface waves considered here.⁸

To distinguish between surface and waveguide modes, we numerically solved the dispersion equation for the air/MoS₂/silicon three-layer structure. We found solutions that correspond to Zenneck surface waves ([Figure 2c,g](#)), which become conventional Zenneck waves in the limit of an infinitely thick TMDC layer ([Figure 2e](#)), as well as Zenneck-type modes ([Figure 2d,h](#)), where both interfaces participate in the formation of a guided wave and mode tail in silicon is similar to that one for MoS₂/silicon ([Figure 2f](#)). Both types of modes are enabled by the large dissipation in MoS₂ and Si. These multilayer structures also support other two types of the modes: waveguide modes and leaky waves.^{45–47} Waveguide modes are confined in the TMDC layer because the real part of its permittivity is larger than those of the Si substrate and the air. These modes have a field maximum inside the MoS₂ layer, and their wavelength is <170 nm. Due to high nonradiative

losses, the attenuation lengths for waveguide modes are $\leq 0.25 \mu\text{m}$, which makes them irrelevant to our measurements. In contrast to Zenneck and waveguide waves, which are localized either at an interface or in the high-index layer, the leaky waves are solutions of dispersion equations where the electric field exponentially increases away from one of the boundaries. Not being bound to the TMDC layer, these modes possess very high radiative losses and decay much faster than Zenneck and waveguide modes. Therefore, we do not consider them in the further TMDC analysis.

Note also that Zenneck and Zenneck-type waves are different from *s*-polarized exciton–polariton modes in TMDC monolayer in symmetric cladding,⁴⁸ which are also layer-bound waves. In addition to the polarization, these two kinds of modes differ in their wavelength: while the effective wavelength of a Zenneck mode at the boundary between two media exceeds the wavelength of the wave in any of these media, the wavelength of an exciton–polariton mode propagating along a TMDC monolayer is smaller than that of an electromagnetic wave freely propagating in the ambient medium, and nearly equal refractive indices of materials at both sides of the monolayer play a critical role.⁴⁸

The difference between Zenneck and waveguide modes is evident from the guiding role of either one or both TMDC interfaces. The in-plane component of the electric field of the Zenneck surface wave is maximal at the interface between a lossy medium, MoS₂, and nonlossy one, air ([Figure 2c](#)). The wave significantly extends into the nonlossy medium, and its wavelength is larger than the free-space wavelength: $\lambda_s = \lambda_{\text{ZW}} = 642 \text{ nm} > \lambda_0 = 632 \text{ nm}$. At this excitation wavelength, the MoS₂/silicon interface also supports Zenneck waves ([Figure 2f](#)) because MoS₂ is much lossier than silicon; a Zenneck wave also exists at the air/silicon interface,^{22,23} that is, at the bare substrate.

Since the wavelength of the Zenneck surface wave exceeds λ_0 by as little as 2%, our data does not allow us to clearly resolve Zenneck surface waves from cylindrical waves, for which $\lambda = \lambda_0$. We do, however, resolve the Zenneck-type wave. Its profile is shown in [Figure 2d,h](#) for a 130 nm thick MoS₂ flake exfoliated on a Si substrate, where the mode has a wavelength of 260 nm. We refer to this mode as a Zenneck-type wave because it is similar to the Zenneck wave that exists at a single interface between silicon and MoS₂ ([Figure 2f](#)). The profile of the Zenneck-type mode extends predominantly into the silicon substrate, and the field maximum is located at the silicon/MoS₂ interface ([Figure 2d](#)). Mode properties are summarized in [Table 1](#). According to calculation, the Zenneck wave and Zenneck-type mode have 38 and 2.7 μm propagation length, respectively (see [Table 1](#)), which is in striking contrast to a waveguide mode with the propagation length below 0.25 μm . Waveguide mode losses are strictly proportional to the material

Table 1. Comparison of Propagation Constants $k^{(s)}$, Effective Wavelength λ_s , Mode Propagation Length L_s , and Depth of Mode Penetration into the Air w_a and Silicon w_{Si} of the Four Waves under Consideration

wave	$k^{(s)}, \mu\text{m}^{-1}$	λ_s, nm	$L_s, \mu\text{m}$	$w_a, \mu\text{m}$	$w_{\text{Si}}, \mu\text{m}$
incident	9.94	632	NA	NA	NA
cylindrical	9.94	632	NA	NA	NA
Zenneck	9.85	642	38	5.8	6.4
Zenneck-type mode	24.2	260	2.7	0.04	0.57

losses of TMDC even for the imaginary part of permittivity comparable to real part, while there is no such dependence for Zenneck surface waves. Mode propagation constants are used in eqs 1–3 to plot interference results in Figure 2a,b and show good agreement with experimental measurements.

In contrast to conventional Zenneck waves, Zenneck-type modes are only supported in a spectral range where both real and imaginary parts of the TMDC permittivity have sufficiently large values, while the limiting values sensitively depend on the layer thickness. Here we show an example of this mode for a particular MoS₂ permittivity and thickness considered in our work. Various studies report different values for MoS₂ permittivity^{49–53} that strongly depend on fabrication conditions. The wavelength of our study ($\lambda_0 = 632$ nm) overlaps with the exciton resonance energy in MoS₂ (1.8–2 eV), which affects the material permittivity. In our model, we estimated the MoS₂ permittivity as $\epsilon \sim 30 + 8.5i$ (ref 51) to reproduce the measured fringe spacing.

With the same approach and technique employed for fringes on MoS₂, we study hBN samples, showing the results in Figure 3. We used mechanically exfoliated hBN on a Si substrate as a




Figure 3. Fringe spacing analysis for hBN: Inverse fringe spacing $2\pi/\Delta x$ dependence on the sample rotation angle ϕ . Lines correspond to the model eqs 1 and 2 of interference between incident light and either cylindrical or leaky waves excited at the edge of hBN. Inset: The leaky wave mode profile shows $|E_x|$, which is the absolute value of the E -field component parallel to the surface; $|E_x|$ increases away from the hBN/silicon interface.

control sample to demonstrate interference patterns in the absence of Zenneck waves. The band gap of hBN is at about 5.2 eV (wavelength 238 nm), at a wavelength of $\lambda_0 = 632$ nm, hBN is a dielectric with a moderate permittivity: the real part is about 4–5 and imaginary is about 0.5.^{54,55} Our sample is ~ 150 nm thick and, because of the small optical thickness, does not support any nonleaky modes bound to the material layer. Neither isotropic nor anisotropic permittivity in the range mentioned above does not give a solution for bound surface modes, and only leaky wave can be excited. Figure 3 shows results of the calculations for $\epsilon_{\text{hBN}} = 4 + 0.5i$. Therefore, for the hBN sample, we observe interference fringes that correspond to two waves only: cylindrical and leaky. The leaky wave has a wavelength of $\lambda_{\text{LW}} = 422$ nm, but the mode is not localized at the hBN/sample and has a field component that increases away from the hBN/silicon interface (see inset in Figure 3). Despite high radiative losses, this mode contributes to interference fringes within several micrometers from the hBN edge. The

absence of guided Zenneck and Zenneck-type modes makes the leaky mode visible in the interference pattern on the hBN layer.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have studied surface waves in a representative TMDC layer (i.e., MoS₂) mechanically exfoliated on a bare Si substrate at optical frequencies using s-SNOM and a theoretical model at various sample-rotation angles. We have demonstrated the existence of Zenneck-type waves that are enabled by the high dissipation of MoS₂, and we have imaged their interference in real space. We note that the Zenneck-type mode that we analyzed here disappears if the thickness of the MoS₂ layer is increased; however, this is not a general property of Zenneck-type modes. Proximity to a cutoff increases the propagation length of Zenneck-type modes. As a control experiment, we have used mechanically exfoliated hBN (representative insulator) on a Si substrate to demonstrate interference patterns due to hBN edge-reflected cylindrical waves that propagate in the air for tens of micrometers without regard to sample optical properties. Propagating surface electromagnetic waves are at the heart of exciting research and novel applications in nanophotonics. We anticipate that the presence of Zenneck-type surface waves that we have studied in modern quantum materials such as layered TMDC will offer yet new opportunities in nanophotonics. Since Zenneck-type modes are sensitive to the properties of the interface, they can be used to study surface and interface physics and chemistry.

METHODS

The microscope is a commercial s-SNOM system (neaspec.com). A probing linearly p-polarized HeNe laser (632 nm) or QCL laser (mid-IR) illuminates the tip and the sample edge at an angle of 45° to the sample surface. The scattered field is acquired using a phase modulation (pseudoheterodyne) interferometry. The background signal is suppressed by vertical tip oscillations at the mechanical resonance frequency of the cantilever ($f_0 \sim 285$ kHz) and demodulation of the detector signal at higher harmonics nf_0 , $n = 2, 3, 4$, of the tip resonance frequency. The combined scattered field from the tip and the reference beam pass through a linear polarizer, which further selects the p/p polarization of the measured signal for analysis. The TMDC and hBN samples were prepared using mechanical exfoliation on precleaned Si wafer and imaged using s-SNOM at various sample-rotation angles ϕ at a wavelength of $\lambda_0 = 632$ nm and in the mid-IR range. Typical flake sizes are about 20–40 μm and the imaged areas were about $5 \times 5 \mu\text{m}^2$.

Interference Model. Consider an incident wave with the real part of its wavevector

$$\text{Re}[\mathbf{k}^{(0)}] = (k_x^{(0)}, k_y^{(0)}, k_z^{(0)}) \quad (\text{M1})$$

($\mathbf{k}^{(0)}$ is real unless the incidence medium absorbs light). This wave excites a surface wave propagating along the sample in the direction away from the sample edge (sample boundaries as illustrated in Figure 1). Let the wavevector of the surface wave be $\text{Re}[\mathbf{k}^{(s)}] = (k_x^{(s)}, k_y^{(s)}, k_z^{(s)})$.

Along the edge, the phases of the two waves must coincide. Therefore,

$$k_y^{(0)} = k_y^{(s)} \quad (\text{M2})$$

we have verified that the imaginary part of $\mathbf{k}^{(s)}$ has a negligible effect on the fringe spacing for our parameters. Under this

premise, the spacing between the fringes formed by the interference of the incident light and the surface wave equals

$$\Delta x = \frac{2\pi}{|k_x^{(0)} - k_x^{(s)}|} \quad (\text{M3})$$

Note that the fringes are parallel to the edge even if $\phi \neq 0$. For materials isotropic in the xy plane, the x -component of $\text{Re}[k^{(s)}]$ can be found from $\sqrt{(k_x^{(s)})^2 + (k_y^{(s)})^2} = 2\pi/\lambda_s$, where λ_s is the wavelength of the surface mode measured along the surface (even though, in general, $k_z^{(s)} \neq 0$, this component of the wavevector plays no role at $z = 0$). So,

$$k_x^{(s)} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_s}\right)^2 - (k_y^{(s)})^2} \quad (\text{M4})$$

We expect similar results for equal positive and negative angles ϕ , yet we have performed a rotation in both directions and kept this information of the measured data on the plot.

Substituting eq M4 into eq M3, as well as using $k_x^{(0)} = 2\pi \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi)/\lambda_0$ together with $k_y^{(0)} = 2\pi \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi)/\lambda_0$, we obtain eq 1.

If there are two types of waves propagating along the surface, their interference produces fringes spaced by

$$\Delta x = 2\pi/|k_x^{(s1)} - k_x^{(s2)}| \quad (\text{M5})$$

Note that fringe spacing would be different if the laser beam were tightly focused on the tip, without illuminating the sample edge.⁸

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsp Photonics.7b01563.

Figures S1–S4 (PDF).

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: yabate@physast.uga.edu.

ORCID

Viktoriia E. Babicheva: 0000-0002-0789-5738

Li Zhen: 0000-0003-0886-2994

Stephen B. Cronin: 0000-0001-7089-6672

Yohannes Abate: 0000-0003-1313-1766

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation CAREER Grant No. 1553251 (Y.A. and S.G.). V.B. acknowledges support by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research grant number FA9559-16-1-0172. This research was supported in part by the Department of Energy Award No. DE-FG02-07ER46376 (Z.L.). The authors are grateful to Marquez Howard for assistance in the preparation of figures and Mark Stockman for initial useful discussions.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Abate, Y.; Gamage, S.; Li, Z.; Babicheva, V.; Javani, M. H.; Wang, H.; Cronin, S. B.; Stockman, M. I. Nanoscopy reveals surface-metallic black phosphorus. *Light: Sci. Appl.* **2016**, *5*, e16162.
- (2) Boulesbaa, A.; Babicheva, V. E.; Wang, K.; Kravchenko, I. I.; Lin, M.-W.; Mahjouri-Samani, M.; Jacobs, C. B.; Poretzky, A. A.; Xiao, K.; Ivanov, I.; Rouleau, C. M.; Geohegan, D. B. Ultrafast Dynamics of Metal Plasmons Induced by 2D Semiconductor Excitons in Hybrid Nanostructure Arrays. *ACS Photonics* **2016**, *3* (12), 2389–2395.
- (3) Dai, S.; Fei, Z.; Ma, Q.; Rodin, A. S.; Wagner, M.; McLeod, A. S.; Liu, M. K.; Gannett, W.; Regan, W.; Watanabe, K.; Taniguchi, T.; Thiemens, M.; Dominguez, G.; Neto, A. H. C.; Zettl, A.; Keilmann, F.; Jarillo-Herrero, P.; Fogler, M. M.; Basov, D. N. Tunable Phonon Polaritons in Atomically Thin van der Waals Crystals of Boron Nitride. *Science* **2014**, *343* (6175), 1125–1129.
- (4) Woessner, A.; Lundeberg, M. B.; Gao, Y.; Principi, A.; Alonso-Gonzalez, P.; Carrega, M.; Watanabe, K.; Taniguchi, T.; Vignale, G.; Polini, M.; Hone, J.; Hillenbrand, R.; Koppens, F. H. L. Highly confined low-loss plasmons in graphene-boron nitride heterostructures. *Nat. Mater.* **2015**, *14* (4), 421–425.
- (5) Dai, S.; Ma, Q.; Liu, M. K.; Andersen, T.; Fei, Z.; Goldflam, M. D.; Wagner, M.; Watanabe, K.; Taniguchi, T.; Thiemens, M.; Keilmann, F.; Janssen, G.; Zhu, S. E.; Jarillo-Herrero, P.; Fogler, M. M.; Basov, D. N. Graphene on hexagonal boron nitride as a tunable hyperbolic metamaterial. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2015**, *10* (8), 682–686.
- (6) Caldwell, J. D.; Vurgaftman, I.; Tischler, J. G.; Glembocki, O. J.; Owrutsky, J. C.; Reinecke, T. L. Atomic-scale photonic hybrids for mid-infrared and terahertz nanophotonics. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2016**, *11*, 9.
- (7) Fei, Z.; Scott, M. E.; Gosztola, D. J.; Foley, J. J.; Yan, J.; Mandrus, D. G.; Wen, H.; Zhou, P.; Zhang, D. W.; Sun, Y.; Guest, J. R.; Gray, S. K.; Bao, W.; Wiederrecht, G. P.; Xu, X. Nano-optical imaging of WS_2 waveguide modes revealing light–exciton interactions. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2016**, *94* (8), 081402.
- (8) Hu, F.; Luan, Y.; Scott, M. E.; Yan, J.; Mandrus, D. G.; Xu, X.; Fei, Z. Imaging exciton–polariton transport in MoSe₂ waveguides. *Nat. Photonics* **2017**, *11*, 356.
- (9) Merano, M. Transverse electric surface mode in atomically thin Boron–Nitride. *Opt. Lett.* **2016**, *41* (11), 2668–2671.
- (10) Babicheva, V. E.; Gamage, S.; Stockman, M. I.; Abate, Y. Near-field edge fringes at sharp material boundaries. *Opt. Express* **2017**, *25* (20), 23935–23944.
- (11) Polo, J. A.; Lakhtakia, A. Surface electromagnetic waves: A review. *Laser & Photonics Reviews* **2011**, *5* (2), 234–246.
- (12) Zenneck, J. Fortpflanzung ebener elektromagnetischer Wellen längs einer ebenen Leiterfläche. *Ann. Phys.* **1907**, *328*, 846–866.
- (13) Sommerfeld, A. Über die Ausbreitung der Wellen in der drahtlosen Telegraphie. *Ann. Phys.* **1909**, *333* (4), 665–736.
- (14) Collin, R. E. Hertzian dipole radiating over a lossy Earth or sea: Some early and late 20th-century controversies. *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **2004**, *46* (2), 64–79.
- (15) Wait, J. R. The ancient and modern history of EM ground-wave propagation. *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **1998**, *40* (5), 7–24.
- (16) Sommerfeld, A. Über die Ausbreitung der Wellen in der drahtlosen Telegraphie. *Ann. Phys.* **1926**, *386* (25), 1135–1153.
- (17) Chabal, Y. J.; Sievers, A. J. Surface electromagnetic wave launching at the edge of a metal film. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1978**, *32* (2), 90–92.
- (18) Datsko, V. N.; Kopylov, A. A. On surface electromagnetic waves. *Phys.-Usp.* **2008**, *51* (1), 101.
- (19) Aleksandr, V. K. On the existence and physical meaning of the Zenneck wave. *Phys.-Usp.* **2009**, *52* (7), 755.
- (20) Sihvola, A.; Jiaran, Q.; Lindell, I. V. Bridging the Gap Between Plasmonics and Zenneck Waves. *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **2010**, *52* (1), 124–136.
- (21) Petrillo, L.; Darces, M.; Jangal, F.; Montmagnon, J. L.; Hélier, M. Towards a Better Excitation of the Surface Wave: Electromagnetic

Field on the Sea Surface; 2009 *Loughborough Antennas and Propagation Conference*, Nov. 16–17, 2009; IEEE, 2009; pp 485–488.

(22) Faryad, M.; Lakhtakia, A. Observation of the Uller–Zenneck wave. *Opt. Lett.* **2014**, *39* (17), 5204–5207.

(23) Faryad, M.; Lakhtakia, A. Grating-coupled excitation of the Uller–Zenneck surface wave in the optical regime. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **2014**, *31* (7), 1706–1711.

(24) Michalski, K. A.; Mosig, J. R. The Sommerfeld Halfspace Problem Redux: Alternative Field Representations, Role of Zenneck and Surface Plasmon Waves. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* **2015**, *63* (12), 5777–5790.

(25) Baibakov, V. I.; Datsko, V. N.; Yu, V. K. Experimental discovery of Zenneck's surface electromagnetic waves. *Soviet Physics Uspekhi* **1989**, *32* (4), 378.

(26) Zhizhin, G. N.; Silin, V. I.; Sychugov, V. A.; Yakovlev, V. A. Zenneck-Sommerfeld type surface polaritons on the quartz crystal. *Solid State Commun.* **1984**, *51* (8), 613–615.

(27) Taubner, T.; Korobkin, D.; Urzhumov, Y.; Shvets, G.; Hillenbrand, R. Near-Field Microscopy Through a SiC Superlens. *Science* **2006**, *313* (5793), 1595–1595.

(28) Chen, J.; Badioli, M.; Alonso-Gonzalez, P.; Thongrattanasiri, S.; Huth, F.; Osmond, J.; Spasenovic, M.; Centeno, A.; Pesquera, A.; Godignon, P.; Zurutuza Elorza, A.; Camara, N.; de Abajo, F. J. G.; Hillenbrand, R.; Koppens, F. H. L. Optical nano-imaging of gate-tunable graphene plasmons. *Nature* **2012**, *487* (7405), 77–81.

(29) Abate, Y.; Seidlitz, D.; Fali, A.; Gamage, S.; Babicheva, V.; Yakovlev, V. S.; Stockman, M. I.; Collazo, R.; Alden, D.; Dietz, N. Nanoscopy of Phase Separation in In_xGa_{1-x}N Alloys. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8* (35), 23160–23166.

(30) Abate, Y.; Babicheva, V. E.; Yakovlev, V. S.; Dietz, N. Towards Understanding and Control of Nanoscale Phase Segregation in Indium-Gallium-Nitride Alloys. In *III-Nitride Materials, Devices and Nano-Structures*, Feng, Z. C., Ed. World Scientific (EUROPE): 2016; pp 183–207.

(31) Abate, Y.; Marvel, R. E.; Ziegler, J. I.; Gamage, S.; Javani, M. H.; Stockman, M. I.; Haglund, R. F. Control of plasmonic nanoantennas by reversible metal-insulator transition. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 13997.

(32) Zhu, J.; Hippalgaonkar, K.; Shen, S.; Wang, K.; Abate, Y.; Lee, S.; Wu, J.; Yin, X.; Majumdar, A.; Zhang, X. Temperature-Gated Thermal Rectifier for Active Heat Flow Control. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14* (8), 4867–4872.

(33) Grefe, S. E.; Leiva, D.; Mastel, S.; Dhuey, S. D.; Cabrini, S.; Schuck, P. J.; Abate, Y. Near-field spatial mapping of strongly interacting multiple plasmonic infrared antennas. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15* (43), 18944–18950.

(34) Mastel, S.; Grefe, S. E.; Cross, G. B.; Taber, A.; Dhuey, S.; Cabrini, S.; Schuck, P. J.; Abate, Y. Real-space mapping of nanoplasmonic hotspots via optical antenna-gap loading. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2012**, *101* (13), 131102.

(35) Nuño, Z.; Hessler, B.; Heiberg, B.; Damato, R.; Dunlap, T.; Shon, Y.-S.; Abate, Y. Nanoscale near-field infrared spectroscopic imaging of silica-shell/gold-core and pure silica nanoparticles. *J. Nanopart. Res.* **2012**, *14* (3), 766.

(36) Nuno, Z.; Hessler, B.; Ochoa, J.; Shon, Y. S.; Bonney, C.; Abate, Y. Nanoscale subsurface- and material-specific identification of single nanoparticles. *Opt. Express* **2011**, *19* (21), 20865–20875.

(37) Stiegler, J. M.; Abate, Y.; Cvitkovic, A.; Romanyuk, Y. E.; Huber, A. J.; Leone, S. R.; Hillenbrand, R. Nanoscale Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy of Individual Nanoparticles Enabled by Scattering-Type Near-Field Microscopy. *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5* (8), 6494–6499.

(38) Ogawa, Y.; Minami, F.; Abate, Y.; Leone, S. R. Nanometer-scale dielectric constant of Ge quantum dots using apertureless near-field scanning optical microscopy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2010**, *96* (6), 063107.

(39) Knoll, B.; Keilmann, F. Enhanced dielectric contrast in scattering-type scanning near-field optical microscopy. *Opt. Commun.* **2000**, *182* (4), 321–328.

(40) Fei, Z.; Rodin, A. S.; Andreev, G. O.; Bao, W.; McLeod, A. S.; Wagner, M.; Zhang, L. M.; Zhao, Z.; Thieme, M.; Dominguez, G.; Fogler, M. M.; Neto, A. H. C.; Lau, C. N.; Keilmann, F.; Basov, D. N.

Gate-tuning of graphene plasmons revealed by infrared nano-imaging. *Nature* **2012**, *487* (7405), 82–85.

(41) Fei, Z.; Rodin, A. S.; Gannett, W.; Dai, S.; Regan, W.; Wagner, M.; Liu, M. K.; McLeod, A. S.; Dominguez, G.; Thieme, M.; Castro Neto, A. H.; Keilmann, F.; Zettl, A.; Hillenbrand, R.; Fogler, M. M.; Basov, D. N. Electronic and plasmonic phenomena at graphene grain boundaries. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2013**, *8* (11), 821–825.

(42) van Beijnum, F.; Rétif, C.; Smiet, C. B.; Liu, H.; Lalanne, P.; van Exter, M. P. Quasi-cylindrical wave contribution in experiments on extraordinary optical transmission. *Nature* **2012**, *492*, 411.

(43) Lalanne, P.; Hugonin, J. P. Interaction between optical nano-objects at metallo-dielectric interfaces. *Nat. Phys.* **2006**, *2*, 551.

(44) Gay, G.; Alloschery, O.; Viaris de Lesegno, B.; O'Dwyer, C.; Weiner, J.; Lezec, H. J. The optical response of nanostructured surfaces and the composite diffracted evanescent wave model. *Nat. Phys.* **2006**, *2*, 262.

(45) Hu, J.; Menyuk, C. R. Understanding leaky modes: slab waveguide revisited. *Adv. Opt. Photonics* **2009**, *1* (1), 58–106.

(46) Frezza, F.; Tedeschi, N. Electromagnetic inhomogeneous waves at planar boundaries: tutorial. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **2015**, *32* (8), 1485–1501.

(47) Monticone, F.; Alù, A. Leaky-Wave Theory, Techniques, and Applications: From Microwaves to Visible Frequencies. *Proc. IEEE* **2015**, *103* (5), 793–821.

(48) Khurgin, J. B. Two-dimensional exciton–polariton–light guiding by transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers. *Optica* **2015**, *2* (8), 740–742.

(49) Beal, A. R.; Hughes, H. P. Kramers-Kronig analysis of the reflectivity spectra of 2H-MoS₂, 2H-MoSe₂ and 2H-MoTe₂. *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **1979**, *12* (5), 881.

(50) Li, Y.; Chernikov, A.; Zhang, X.; Rigosi, A.; Hill, H. M.; van der Zande, A. M.; Chenet, D. A.; Shih, E.-M.; Hone, J.; Heinz, T. F. Measurement of the optical dielectric function of monolayer transition-metal dichalcogenides: MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂, and WSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2014**, *90* (20), 205422.

(51) Morozov, Y. V.; Kuno, M. Optical constants and dynamic conductivities of single layer MoS₂, MoSe₂, and WSe₂. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2015**, *107* (8), 083103.

(52) Zhang, H.; Ma, Y.; Wan, Y.; Rong, X.; Xie, Z.; Wang, W.; Dai, L. Measuring the Refractive Index of Highly Crystalline Monolayer MoS₂ with High Confidence. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 8440.

(53) Yim, C.; O'Brien, M.; McEvoy, N.; Winters, S.; Mirza, I.; Lunney, J. G.; Duesberg, G. S. Investigation of the optical properties of MoS₂ thin films using spectroscopic ellipsometry. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *104* (10), 103114.

(54) Geick, R.; Perry, C. H.; Rupprecht, G. Normal Modes in Hexagonal Boron Nitride. *Phys. Rev.* **1966**, *146* (2), 543–547.

(55) Hoffman, D. M.; Doll, G. L.; Eklund, P. C. Optical properties of pyrolytic boron nitride in the energy range 0.05–10 eV. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1984**, *30* (10), 6051–6056.